

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trauemark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	,	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR		A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/153,2	230 09/15	/98	TOGNAZZINI		÷	В	,50253-148 (P)		
		•	LM02/0630	٦		E	XAMINER		
	MCDERMOTT, WII & EMERY			Share 2 (" "m" share 2 (WU, X		
600 13TH STREET N.W. WASHINGTON DC 20005					ARTU	NIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MHSHINGI	ON DC 2000	5				2774	/		
•				•	- DATE MAI	LED:			
							06/30/99		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/153, 230	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	XIAO V	Ju 2774
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	1/2	•. •
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by deference to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set 	a reply within the statutory mini ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
Status		•
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,		
Disposition of Claims		
$\sqrt{\text{Claim(s)}} = \frac{1-2}{\sqrt{-17}}, 22 - 42$	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
$\square \text{ Claim(s)} = \frac{1-2}{\sqrt{7-17}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{7-17}} = \frac{2}{7-$	2	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)		
Application Papers		requirement.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.	·
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers) 	of the priority documents	have been
reasived in this national stage application from the	international buleau (FC)	
☐ received in this national stage application from the		
*Certified copies not received:		•
*Certified copies not received:Attachment(s)		
*Certified copies not received:	er No(s)	Interview Summary, PTO-413
*Certified copies not received:Attachment(s)	er No(s)	

Art Unit: 2774

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 37, 40-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-3 of U. S. Patent No. 5,859629 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: selection of touch keys modifies a granularity of movement controlled by the strip of touch sensitive material.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2774

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-11, 35-36, 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawamoto (U.S. Patent No. 5,365,254).

As to claims 1, 7, 35-36, 38-39, Kawamoto discloses an input device for providing user controlled inputs, comprising: a strip of touch sensitive material (13) sensitive to a range of pressure (e.g. zero pressure or pressure), strip having a substantially constant width and a length which is at least twice the width (see Fig. 3, item 13); and interface (21, Fig. 4) connecting strip to a computer and responsive to human contact with the strip in order to transpose the position and pressure value of the contact into a data signal and to output the data signal (see 2, lines 51-67).

As to claims 2, 8, Kawamoto discloses that the interface does not transpose the widthwise of the contact and the data signal does not indicate the widthwise position of the contact (e.g. the touch zones positioned along the length).

As to claims 4, 10, Kawamoto discloses that the substantially contact width is approximately the width of a human finger (column 2, lines 56-57).

As to claims 5 and 11, Kawamoto discloses that the linear touch input device further comprises a number of touch keys or buttons (14, 16, 17).

As to claim 9, Kawamoto discloses the contact involving the pressure.

Art Unit: 2774

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, 12-17, 22-26, 28-33 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawamoto (U.S. Patent No. 5,365,254) in view of Bequaert (U.S. Patent No. 4,042,777).

As to claims 6, 12-17 and 42, it is noted that Kawamoto does not discloses the number of keys or buttons is four and wherein said keys or buttons are located on said linear touch input device in a position so as to be operable by the fingers of a hand while said strip of touch sensitive material is simultaneously touched by the thumb of the hand. Bequaert discloses a touch input device comprises four keys (finger section) and a strip (thumb section) both can be touched simultaneously. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the input device of Kawamoto with the features of keys arrangements and simultaneously touched as taught by Bequaert, because the simultaneously touched and the finger input keys can more character inputs by using less keys.

As to claims 22-23, 25-26, 29-30, 32, it is noted that Kawamoto does not discloses a keyboard having a plurality of alphanumeric keys and linear touch input device being integrated with the keyboard. Bequaert is cited to teach the touch input device can be integrated with keyboard for inputting characters. It would have been obvious to have integrated a keyboard of

Art Unit: 2774

Bequaert into the touch input device of Kawamoto because Bequaert's Keyboard can provide additional functions such as inputting alphanumeric data to the screen so that the user can do both cursor control and inputting characters.

As to claims 24, 31, Kawamoto discloses that the processor controls scrolling of the display in accordance with the input data signal (e.g. cursor scrolling).

As to claim 28, it is well known in the art that any computer system can be connected to network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have connected the computer system of Kawamoto to the network, so that the user can interactive with other users.

As to claim 33, Kawamoto discloses the input device is a pointing device (e.g. controlling cursor movement).

Claims 27 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 7. Kawamoto (U.S. Patent No. 5,365,254) in view of Bequaert (U.S. Patent No. 4,042,777) as applied to claims 22-26 and 29-33 above, and further in view of Smith et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,111,005).

It is noted that both Kawamoto do not discloses the pointing device comprises a twodimensional pointing device and computer program includes a routine for processing the signal from two-dimensional pointing device with the input data signal to generate a three-dimensional input signal. Smith is teach a touch pointing device which can generating either two-dimensional input signal or three-dimensional input signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Kawamoto as modified with the features of multi-dimensional input

Page 6

Serial Number: 09/153,230

Art Unit: 2774

control as taught by Smith, so that the user can use the pointing device in a three-dimensional display.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 8. should be directed to Xiao Wu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4721. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hierpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. V.A., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Page 7

Serial Number: 09/153,230

Art Unit: 2774

xw

June 21, 1999

XIAO WU
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2774